2:05-cv-71614-PJD-VMM Doc#1 REGISTRING NAME 105 Pg 1 of 9 Pg ID 1

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

TIMOTHY BICKES and DAVID TREPANIER,

Plaintiffs,

JUDGE: Duggan, Patrick J.
DECK: S. Division Civil Deck

DATE : 04/25/2005 @ 14:21:31 CASE NUMBER : 2:05CV71614

CMP TIMOTHY BICKES VS. SPS TECHNOLOGIES WATERFORD (SI) JMC

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MORGAN

٧.

SPS TECHNOLOGIES WATERFORD COMPANY, a Michigan Corporation, SPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Pennsylvania Corporation, and SPS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a

Pennsylvania Corporation,

Defendants.

MATTHEW WIGENT (P46968) WARNICKE & WIGENT, PLLC Attorney for Plaintiffs 1701 Cass Lake Road Keego Harbor, MI 48320 (248) 738-5000

COMPLAINT

NOW COME Plaintiffs, Timothy Bickes and David Trepanier, by and through their attorneys WARNICKE & WIGENT, PLLC, and for their Complaint states as follows:

- 1. This is an action to enforce rights arising out of Plaintiffs' employment relationship with Defendant SPS Technologies.
- Plaintiff Timothy Bickes is a resident of the Township of Waterford, County of Oakland, State of Michigan.
- 3. Plaintiff David Trepanier is a resident of City of Flint, County of Genessee, State of Michigan.

Marnicke & Wigent, PLLC | 1701 Cass Lake Road | Keego Harbor, Michigan 48320 | (248) 738-5000

- 4. Plaintiffs were employees of Defendant SPS Technologies Waterford Company, SPS Technologies, Inc. and/or SPS Technologies, LLC (hereafter "Defendants") at their facility in the County of Oakland, State of Michigan.
- Defendant SPS Technologies Waterford Company is a Michigan Corporation doing business in the County of Oakland, State of Michigan.
- 6. Defendant SPS Technologies Inc., and Defendant SPS Technologies, Inc. are Pennsylvania Corporations doing business in the County of Oakland, State of Michigan.
- 7. The events giving rise to this cause of action occurred in the County of Oakland in the State of Michigan.
- 8. Jurisdiction in this matter exists due to a federal question.
- 9. Plaintiff Timothy Bickes has rheumatory arthritis.
- 10. Plaintiff Timothy Bickes began his employment with Defendants on August 29,1984.
- 11. Plaintiff David Trepanier has a serious back injury, heart condition and other disabilities covered under the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 12. Plaintiff David Trepanier began his employment with Defendants on August 3,1988.
- 13. Plaintiffs' employment with Defendants was terminated on or about April 29, 2004.
- 14. Plaintiffs, among others, were terminated on April 29, 2004 due to disabilities covered under the Americans with Disabilities Act.

VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT ASTO TIMOTHY BICKES

- 15. At all material times, Plaintiff Timothy Bickes was an employee, and Defendants were his employer, covered by and within the meaning of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq.
- Plaintiff's rheumatory arthritic condition constitutes a disability, as that term is defined by and within the meaning of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990,42 USC 12101 et seq.
- 17. Plaintiff was discriminated against, within the meaning of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq., when Defendants terminated him.
- 18. Plaintiff's disability was a determining factor in Defendants' decision to terminate Plaintiff.
- 19. The actions of Defendants and their agents, representatives, and employees were intentional in disregard for the rights and sensibilities of Plaintiff and warrant punitive damages.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful discrimination, Plaintiff Timothy Bickes has sustained injuries and damages, including the loss of earnings and earning capacity; loss of fringe and pension benefits; mental and emotional distress; humiliation and embarrassment; and loss of the ordinary pleasures of everyday life, including the right to seek and pursue a gainful occupation of choice.

COUNT II VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT ASTO DAVID TREPANIER

- 21. At all material times, Plaintiff David Trepanier was an employee, and Defendants were his employer, covered by and within the meaning of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq.
- 22. Plaintiff's back condition, heart condition and other conditions, constitute a disability, as that term is defined by and within the meaning of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq.
- 23. Plaintiff was discriminated against, within the meaning of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq., when Defendants terminated him.
- 24. Plaintiff's disability was a determining factor in Defendants' decision to terminate Plaintiff.
- 25. The actions of Defendants and their agents, representatives, and employees were intentional in disregard for the rights and sensibilities of Plaintiff and warrant punitive damages.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful discrimination, Plaintiff David Trepanier has sustained injuries and damages, including the loss of earnings and earning capacity; loss of fringe and pension benefits; mental and emotional distress; humiliation and embarrassment; and loss of the ordinary pleasures of everyday life, including the right to seek and pursue a gainful occupation of choice.

COUNT III GENDER DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ELLIOTT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

- 27. Plaintiff David Trepanier was an employee and Defendants were an employer, covered and within the meaning of the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCLA 37.2101 et seq., MSA 3.548(101) et seq.
- 28. Plaintiff David Trepanier is a member of a class of protected persons (i.e. overweight).
- 29. Plaintiff was treated in a disparate and discriminatory manner compared to other similarly situated employees.
- 30. Plaintiff was subject to an adverse employment action based on his weight.
- 31. Plaintiff's weight was a significant factor that made a difference in other terms and conditions of Plaintiffs' employment with Defendants.
- 32. Defendants, through its agents, representatives, and employees, was predisposed to discriminate on the basis of weight and acted in accordance with that predisposition.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff has sustained injuries and damages including, but not limited to, loss of earnings and earning capacity; loss of career opportunities; humiliation and embarrassment; mental and emotional distress; and loss of the ordinary pleasures of everyday life, including the right to pursue a gainful occupation of choice.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter a Judgment against Defendants for the following:

 a. Compensatory and exemplary damages in whatever amount Plaintiffs are found to be entitled, Warnicke & Wigent, PLLC [1701 Cass Lake Road | Keego Harbor, Michigan 48320 | (248) 738-5000

- b. an award of back pay and the value of lost fringe benefits and pension benefits, past and future,
- c. an award of interest, costs, and reasonable attorney fees.
- d. an order placing Plaintiffs in the positions they would have held had there been no violations of their rights,
- e. an order enjoining Defendants form further acts of discrimination or retaliation, and
- f. whatever other relief appears appropriate at the time of final judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

WARNICKE & WIGENT, PLLC

HEW WICENT (P46968)

Attorneys for Plaintiff 1701 Cass Lake Road

Keego Harbor, MI 48320

(248) 738-5000

Dated: April 25, 2005

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

NOW COME Plaintiffs Timothy Bickes and David Trepanier by and through their attorneys, WARNICKE & WIGENT, PLLC, and hereby demand a trial by jury of the within matters.

Respectfully submitted,

WARNICKE & WIGENT, PLLC

MATTHEW WIGENT (P46968)

Attorneys for Plaintiff 1701 Cass Lake Road Keego Harbor, MI 48320

(248) 738-5000

2:05-cv-71614-PJD-VMM Doc # 1 File	ed 04/25/05 Posts 15/02/6/4/VMM						
IS 44 11/99 CIVIL COVER SHEET COUNTY IN WHICH	THIS ACTION ARPSE: OAKLANO						
The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace no by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the or the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket shee	r supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required et.						
. (a) PLAINTIFFS TIMOTHY BOKES AND	DEFENDANTS 05-71614 SPS TECHNOLOGIES WATERFORD						
DAVID TREPANIER	COMPANY, A MICHIGAN CORPORATION, ET AL						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed: AKLANO	County of Residence of First Listed NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED,						
(c) Attorneys (Name, Address and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)						
MATTHEW WIGENIT (P46968)	PATRICK J. DUGGAN						
1701 CASS LAKE ROAD KEEGO HARBOR, MI 483010 (243) 739-5000 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MORGAN							
KEEGO HARBOZ, MI 48300 (043) 738-5000 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif							
(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for PLA DEF PLA DEF							
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State 1 Incorporated or Principal of Business In This State							
Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	n of Another						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n or Subject of 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 7 7 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	EITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES						
CONTRACT	O Agriculture						
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury—☐ 62☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 315 Airplane Product	20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Selzure of Property 21: 881 28 USC 157 30 Liguor Laws 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC						
Overpayment and Sander 368 Asbestos Personal Of Judgment Injury Product	O R.R. & Truck PROPERTY RIGHTS 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations						
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liablisty ☐ 60	GO Aritine Regs. GO Occupational Sefert/Health 830 Patent 850 Securities/Commodities/						
	O Other Exchange						
of Veteran's Benefits 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal	LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 12 USC 3410 0 Fair Labor Standards D 861 HIA (1395ff) 891 Agricultural Acts						
☐ 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage ☐ 77	Act 862 Black Lung (923) 892 Economic Stabilization Act 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 893 Environmental Matters						
REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS	Relations						
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 441 Voting □ 510 Motions to Vacate	865 RSI (405(g)) & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act FEDERAL TAX SUITS 10 Soot 10 Soot						
230 Rem Lease & Ejectmen 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	O Other Lebor						
245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty	Litigation or Defendant) U 950 Constitutionality or State Statutes						
☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 559 Prison Condition	Security Act 26 USC 7609 B90 Other Statutory Actions						
V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	Transferred Appeal to District						
1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Batter Court Appellate Court Reins	tated 5 another district 6 Multidistrict 7 Judge from Magistrate Litigation 1 Judgment						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing a	nd write brief statement of cause.						
Americans with Disabilities Act.							
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	EMAND CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes D No						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER						
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
x March W El 4 (25)05							

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?		<u> </u>	☐ Yes ※ No	
If yes, giv	ve the following information:				
Court: _		_			
Case No.	;;	_			
Judge: _					
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or discontinued or dismissed companion cases in the other court, including state court? (Companion can matters in which it appears substantially similar elements or the same or related parties are presented arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	is or ar ases ar vidence ent and	e will	□-Yes ⊠No	·
If yes, g				4	
	ive the following information:			•	
Court: _	ive the following information:	_	•		
		-	,		
Case No		- 			